

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2003  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S065877**

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ, SERNA & TRUJEQUE  
Extension of time granted

to applt Serna to 4-15-2003 to request correction of the record. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform the Santa Clara Co. Superior Court and his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record of this schedule, and take all steps necessary to meet it. Counsel for applt is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

**S106273**

B143771 Second Appellate District,  
Division Seven

PEOPLE v. SEEL  
Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Answer Brief on the Merits is extended to and including March 26, 2003. No further extensions are contemplated.

**S110887**

G028823 Fourth Appellate District,  
Division Three

REEVES (JAMES GREEBE) ON H.C.  
Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including March 20, 2003.

**S107355**

A096012 First Appellate District,  
Division Five

LAMUSGA, MARRIAGE OF  
Order filed

Respondent's application for leave to file Reply Brief on the Merits longer than 4,200 words (7,713 words) is hereby granted.

**S113638**

B163987 Second Appellate District,  
Division Five

BROWN v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed

extending jurisdiction to file--up to & including  
3-10-03 order filed nunc pro tunc as of 2-10-03

**S113646**

ARNAIZ v. SC (RIVERSIDE)

Order filed

The above entitled matter is transferred to the  
Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District,  
Division Two, for consideration in light of  
Hagan v. Superior Court (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767.  
In the event the Court of Appeal determines  
that this petition is substantially identical to a  
prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be  
denied.